

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,264		07/14/2003	Jan Evert Van Der Werf	081468-0304800	3943
909	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
		ΓHROP, LLP	KIM, PETER B		
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2851	<u></u> -
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,264	VAN DER WERF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter B. Kim	2851
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 72003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	
Haper No(s)/Maii Date <u>72003</u> .	6) [_] Otner:	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because AB2 disclosed in para 0038 is not shown in Fig. 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 4, 9, 13 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding Claims 1 and 13, "reflector alignment system" and "reflector aligning means" are not clear. It is not clear is the alignment system and means include a reflector or the system and means are used to align reflectors. Further, the structural relationship between reflectors and lithographic projection apparatus is not clear in the claims. Regarding Claims 4, 9, and 16, it is

Art Unit: 2851

not clear how running fringe pattern formed by interference between two beams intersecting is used in alignment. Para 0042 of the disclosure does not provide sufficient enablement to use or make the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oshino (6,208,707).

Oshino discloses a lithographic projection apparatus (Fig. 1-3) and a device manufacturing method comprising an illuminator (1) to provide a projection beam; a support (5) to support a patterning device (4); a substrate table (7) configured to hold a substrate (6), a projection system (31, 32, 33, 34) and a reflector alignment system or means (81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86) to direct an alignment beam of radiation through the projection system (Fig. 1, 2) to measure positions of a first reference mark provided on the patterning device side and the second reference mark provided on the substrate side where the reference marks are in planes congruent with object and image planes of the projection system (col. 4, lines 47-59). Oshino also discloses alignment system or means with smaller numerical aperture than the projection system (col. 5, lines 12-24), and controller to control a position of at least one of the patterning device and the substrate to compensate for or alleviate errors detected by the reflector alignment system (col. 7, line 40 – col. 8, line 23).

Claims 1, 7, 8, 12, 13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishi (4,856,905).

Nishi discloses a lithographic projection apparatus (Fig. 2, 6) and a device manufacturing method comprising an illuminator (LAo) to provide a projection beam; a support (18) to support a patterning device (R); a substrate table (22, 86) configured to hold a substrate (W), a projection system (20) and a reflector alignment system or means (108, 114, 110 etc.) to direct an alignment beam of radiation through the projection system (Fig. 2, 6) to measure positions of a first reference mark provided on the patterning device side and the second reference mark provided on the substrate side where the reference marks are in planes congruent with object and image planes of the projection system (RM and FM). Nishi also discloses the third and fourth reference marks (Fig. 6, left alignment system).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshino in view of Nishi et al. (Nishi) (2004/0032575).

Oshino discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Oshino does not disclose the reference marks comprising gratings. Nishi discloses in para 0280 reference marks comprising grating. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide grating shaped marks to the invention of Oshino in order to

account for substrates and patterning devices with rough surfaces as taught by Nishi in para 0280.

Claims 4, 9, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshino in view of Dirksen et al. (Dirksen) (5,485,272).

Oshino discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Oshino does not disclose reference mark comprising a running fringe pattern formed by interference between two beams intersecting at an angle. Dirkensen discloses reference mark comprising fringe pattern formed by interference between two beams (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reference mark of Dirksen to the invention of Oshino in order to provide very compact and stable system as taught by Dirksen in col. 3, line 65 - col. 4, line 6.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,264

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2851

January 4, 2005